IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF SUNFLOWER COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI

IN THE MATTER OF JAMES BOBO

UNNUMBERED

TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HAD AND DONE IN THE PROBABLE CAUSE HEARING OF THE ABOVE STYLE CAUSE, BEFORE THE HONORABLE ASHLEY HINES, CIRCUIT JUDGE, ON THE 13TH DAY OF MARCH 2017

APPEARANCES:

ERICH JERSCHEID, ESQUIRE

Attorney at Law
Post Office Box 55874
Jackson, Mississippi 39296-5874
PRESENT AND REPRESENTING JIM BOBO

GARY AUSTIN, ESQUIRE

Attorney at Law 306 Main Street Indianola, Mississippi 38751 PRESENT AND REPRESENTING SUNFLOWER COUNTY

REPORTED BY:

TRUDIE QUINN

Official Court Reporter CCR #1368 Post Office Box 180506 Richland, Mississippi 39218 (601) 932-5354

1	INDEX
2	PAGE Style, Number and Appearances
3	
4	Index 2
5	Index of Exhibits 3
6	<u>WITNESSES: STATE</u>
7	EARNEST LEE
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Austin 8
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 11
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Austin 25
10	WILLIAM CARTER
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Austin 26
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 30
13	Redirect Examination by Mr. Austin
14	KELVIN TAYLOR
15	Direct Examination by Mr. Austin
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 36
17	LARRY CRAIG
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Austin
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 39
20	State Rest 40
21	<u>WITNESSES: RESPONDENT</u>
22	JOHN D. ROGERS
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 41
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Austin 50
25	CIUSS-LAMITHACIUM DY MIL AUSCHI

1	COLE TERRELL
2	Direct Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 52
3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Austin 56
4	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 58
5	SEAN SMITH
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 60
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Austin
8	JOHN HUNT
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Jerscheid 64
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Austin
11	Respondent Rest 67
12	- o -
13	Reporter's Page69
14	Certificate of Reporter 70
15	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
16	EXHIBITS I.D. EVIDENCE
17	1 - Report, 01-09-17 55
18	- 0 -
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

BY THE COURT: This is a probable cause hearing on Officer James Bobo. It's an unnumbered case. You may proceed.

BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, there's a motion before the Court and I don't know if Mr. Jerscheid -- you want to argue that motion?

BY MR. JERSCHEID: May it please the Court, your Honor?

BY THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, my name is Erich Jerscheid. It's spelled J-e-r-s-c-h-e-i-d. And before the Court today is an amended motion regarding my client Jim Bobo's request for the Court to strike the affidavit, stay the proceedings and to stop this even from going forward.

Your Honor, there is some statutory, inventory language that's cited in the motion that my client would be entitled to a judgment against Earnest Lee, and the affidavit that's here today, your Honor, as far as this probable cause hearing it sets a dangerous precedent.

We've got a police officer who someone has sought a peace bond against him during the course of an investigation.

Your Honor, candidly, that stymies law enforcement's ability to be able to go forward in investigations in the event that there is some complaint about how the police officer handles it.

And quite frankly, your Honor, to the extent that the statute requires my client to have a probable cause hearing, it removes any prosecutorial discretion, I believe, from the prosecutor being able to make an independent judgment simply by virtue of a couple of attorney general opinions.

BY THE COURT: You do realize that the statutory scheme is for the protection of the officers, don't you?

BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, your Honor, I do. BY THE COURT: All right.

BY MR. JERSCHEID: And just a couple of points that I would ask the Court to take into consideration or hold in abeyance.

Your Honor, the affidavit doesn't charge my client with a criminal offense. It doesn't charge him under any statute, and quite frankly I don't think the law supports Earnest Lee having standing to seek a petition on behalf of the State of Mississippi.

1 fili
2 fili
3 ackn
4 gove

Second point, your Honor, he would be filing this certainly as a private citizen and acknowledging Mr. Lee's right to petition the government.

A private citizen has no general interest to determine whether any form of prosecution is proper, and on that point, your Honor, it would respectfully be put before the Court that the statute violates my client's rights under the equal protection clause. And two, that Mr. Lee has no standing to seek relief on behalf of the State of Mississippi.

The last point, your Honor, is that this is a matter that has been investigated internally by Central Department of Corrections personnel, and by Central I mean the executive administration.

And I believe there will be a report put before the Court today that the allegations that Mr. Lee has made came long after an investigation was completed.

Now, as part of that investigation, your Honor, the evidence will speak for itself that a thorough investigation was completed.

It was an independent investigation. It was done by what would otherwise be an internal

affairs group through the state level at the state agency through the Department of Corrections.

To that end, your Honor, the matter has already been litigated. I believe that any action that has been taken has been taken by the Department of Corrections administration.

And for those reasons, your Honor, and the facts would show -- or that they would not show in the actual report itself that was considered by the state, there are simply no facts showing that my client had any wrongdoing.

So for those reasons, your Honor, we would ask that the Court would grant the instant motion the amended -- first amended notice of special appearance, and we're seeking relief under Rule 65 as well as extraordinary relief by statute and request that the Court enter a judgment against Earnest Lee according to Section 11-41-1 of the Mississippi Code. Thank you.

BY THE COURT: Very well.

BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, as you're aware I'm mandated to do these hearings and I do not have any discretion.

However, Mr. Lee has filed an affidavit that he was assaulted which constitutes a crime in

No warrant has been issued until this the state. 1 hearing has been held. 2 Rule 65, I believe, of the Rule of Civil 3 Procedure, this is criminal, and regardless of what 4 CID -- and he is aware that was their report but, 5 however, I don't have that discretion. I have to 6 have this hearing unless the Court rules otherwise. 7 BY THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll take 8 that under advisement and we will proceed with the 9 hearing at this time. 10 BY MR. AUSTIN: All right. The first 11 witness, your Honor, will be Mr. Eddie Lee. 12 EARNEST LEE, 13 called on behalf of the State, having been duly sworn by 14 the clerk, was examined and testified as follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: 16 Mr. Lee, would you state your name, please. 17 Q. Earnest Lee. 18 Α. 19 Q. And where are you employed? Mississippi Department of Corrections. 20 Α. What is your position? Q. 21 Superintendent. Α. 22 I believe you have filed an affidavit Q. 23 alleging that Mr. Bobo assaulted you, is that correct? 24 Yes, sir. 25 Α.

- Q. And what date?
- A. November the 21st, 2016.
- Q. Did that occur at Parchman which is part of Sunflower County?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Just tell the Court what happened.
- A. It was during the course of an incident that took place and I went over to -- my supervisor instructed me to go over to see what was going on during the incident.

And once I arrived over there CID was talking to the suspect and I asked to talk to the individual, and during the course of me being there Mr. Bobo, he came up and placed his hand on me and I told him not to put his hand on me.

And his supervisor instructed him as well, Mr.

Rogers, John Rogers, and during the course of that

incident Mr. Bobo came back up and grabbed me by my wrist

for a second time.

- Q. You said your supervisor. You're the superintendent, so you don't have a supervisor. So who are you talking about?
- A. Mr. Jerry Williams, out of central office. He's the deputy commissioner of the institution.
 - Q. Okay. So he's your boss?

1 A. Yes, he is.

- Q. Okay. I didn't understand that. So he touched you at one time and he came back later and grabbed your wrist, is that what you're telling us?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And what were you doing at the time?
- A. Well, during that time when he grabbed my wrist I grabbed his wrist, and then various staff separated the both of us.
- Q. I have spoken with Officer Rogers. He said he was around the corner, so he did not see any of these incidents, would that be correct? I know he was present but he wasn't actually there with you.
- A. No, that's not correct. That's the first time. During the course of the incident Mr. Rogers had left out and I had gotten the officer and told the officer we was leaving the conference room, and once I entered the hallway Mr. Bobo was out in the hallway.

Once I entered the hallway Mr. Bobo come running toward me and grabbed me at that point. Now, at that point Mr. Rogers, he wasn't in the vicinity, he was in another office. When Mr. Bobo grabbed me by my wrist Mr. Rogers was inside the office.

BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. That's all I have, your Honor.

BY THE COURT: Any cross-examination of 1 this witness? 2 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, your Honor. 3 BY THE COURT: You may proceed. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID: 5 Mr. Lee, my name is Erich Jerscheid. I Q. 6 represent Jim Bobo. Let me ask you some yes or no 7 questions. For some reason you have any misunderstanding 8 of it, please let me know and I will be glad to rephrase 9 it for you. Okay? 10 Yes, sir. Α. 11 All right. Just a few minutes ago I believe Q. 12 you testified that you submitted this affidavit on 13 November 21st of 2016, is that correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15 And that would not be an accurate statement, 16 Ο. would that be correct? 17 Say that again. 18 Α. 19 Q. And that would not be an accurate statement, would that be correct? 20 Α. Accurate statement on what? 21 Is that an inaccurate statement? 22 Q. I submitted it when? Α. 23 I'm asking you the questions, sir. 24 Q. Right. What's the question? 25 Α.

What day did you submit? Q. 1 I don't exactly know what day I submitted it. Α. 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, I would object 3 to that line of testimony. It's not relevant. You 4 can make an affidavit and say anything you want. 5 There's no prohibition. 6 BY THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 7 BY THE WITNESS: I would have to look on my 8 paperwork to see exactly what date. 9 Superintendent Lee, you also testified Q. 10 earlier that there were two grabs by the wrist. Do you 11 recall filling out an affidavit in this? 12 Yes, I do. Α. 13 Has it been a little while since you looked 14 Q. at it? 15 16 Α. Yes. Is there anything on this affidavit that 17 Ο. might help refresh your recollection? We're talking 18 about the events that occurred on November 21st, the 19 events that you've alleged in the affidavit. 20 Α. What? 21 we're talking about the events that you've 22 Q. alleged in this affidavit. 23 Α. Right. 24 And I'm going back to the events that you've 25 Q.

actually alleged in the affidavit versus what your 1 testimony was just a few minutes ago. 2 Right. Α. 3 So would your affidavit help refresh your 4 Q. recollection? 5 Would it help? No. it wouldn't. Α. 6 Q. Okay. So you're having a tough time 7 remembering what happened that day? 8 Α. No. 9 Mr. Lee, I believe that you also made a point 10 Q. in this affidavit that you suffered bodily injuries? 11 In that affidavit it's not the complete Α. 12 incident that took place. 13 So it's only a partial affidavit? Q. 14 It's not the complete incident that took Α. 15 place in the affidavit. It's just the fact that Mr. Bobo 16 assaulted me. 17 So what injuries were caused to you, sir? 18 Q. 19 Α. Physical contact. And bare physical contact is what you 20 Q. consider to be bodily injury? Yes? 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 And you work at the Mississippi State Q. 23 Penitentiary, correct? 24 25 Α. Correct.

And how many inmates do y'all house out Q. 1 there? 2 We have close to 35 names. 3 Α. And so it's safe to say you probably get 4 Q. bumped into a fair amount? 5 Not that I know, sir. Α. 6 Q. I believe also earlier you testified that you 7 were speaking with someone who was being investigated by 8 CID? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And at that time that you spoke with that Q. 11 individual, you were aware that they were under an 12 investigation, correct? 13 During the time I spoke with the individual, 14 Α. I was aware that he had been assaulted by CID. 15 But at the time that you went in to speak 16 Q. with this particular officer, you were placed on notice, 17 sir, that CID was performing or was in the middle of a 18 law enforcement investigation, correct? 19 Α. No. My warden called me and told me that CID 20 had just assaulted an officer, that's why I went over 21 there. 22 And which warden would that be? Q. 23 Warden Timothy Morris. 24 Α. And so the claim that this officer had been 25 Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assaulted by CID officers, correct, your testimony is that that was the basis for your entry into the room? Α. Correct. And how did Warden Morris call you? Q. By phone. Α. And how long had this particular officer been Q. in the room at the time that you had gone in there? Α. I don't have any knowledge. I was not there. BY MR. JERSCHEID: Court's indulgence. BY THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Lee, did you ever go seek any medical Q. evaluation? Α. No, sir. And why don't you show us exactly how my Q. client put his hands on you. Let's start with the first How did he do it? one. BY MR. JERSCHEID: And Judge, if he can stand and show us, please? BY THE COURT: Very well. You may. BY THE WITNESS: The first time he came he put his hand, he grabbed me by my left wrist and I grabbed him by his left wrist. The second time he ran into me when I came out in the hallway and grabbed me. And that first time that you were talking Q.

about he grabbed your wrist, you said it was the what, 1 the left wrist? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. So he would have grabbed your left wrist with 4 Q. his right? 5 I don't know. Α. 6 Q. And what about the second time, Mr. Lee? 7 Α. Second time what? 8 The second time you testified earlier that he Q. 9 grabbed you. 10 What about it? Α. 11 I'm asking you to show us a demonstration of Q. 12 that as well? 13 He ran into me like this, like he was coming 14 Α. to subdue me. 15 Superintendent Lee, at what point did you 16 Q. slap my client in the face? 17 Α. when he ran into me and grabbed me. 18 Out in the hallway? 19 Q. Α. Right, in the hallway, yes. 20 And show us again how he approached you? 21 Q. Which one? 22 Α. The second time. Q. 23 He came at me like that. Α. 24 were there any other officers around? 25 Q.

Yes, sir. Α. 1 CID officers? Q. 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. And which officers would those be? 4 Q. I know Mr. William Carter for one. I know 5 Α. because he was in the office with me on the CID side. I 6 7 don't know about any of the other CID staff. Q. And did you put your hands on anybody else 8 that day, Mr. Lee? 9 Α. No, I didn't. 10 It's your testimony before the Court today 11 Ο. that you didn't put your hands on any other CID 12 investigator? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 BY MR. JERSCHEID: A brief moment, your 15 16 Honor. BY THE COURT: Very well. 17 Mr. Lee, are you aware what these officers 18 Q. 19 were investigating at the time that you went into the room? 20 No, I wasn't. 21 Α. If they were investigating an inmate assault, 22 Q. would that surprise you? 23 Say that again. Α. 24 If they were investigating an inmate assault, 25 Q.

would that surprise you? 1 Would it surprise me? Α. 2 Correct. 3 Q. That's part of their job. 4 Α. No. But on this particular day you decided that Q. 5 you wanted to stop what they were doing? 6 Α. On that particular day I decided to go over 7 there because my warden called and said that they had the 8 officer on the floor assaulting the officer. 9 And that officer is not here today, correct? Q. 10 I don't see him. Α. 11 Now, you would agree with me, Superintendent Q. 12 Lee, that the particular officer who was subdued was 13 rather large, would you agree with that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And it sometimes takes a little bit more to 16 Ο. get large individuals down than it does short people like 17 me, agree? 18 I don't know. 19 Α. BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, it's 20 interesting testimony of Mr. Lee, but what Mr. Lee 21 may have perceived about another officer is not 22 relevant. 23 BY THE COURT: Well, I will sustain that 24 objection. 25

Mr. Lee, at what point were you blocking the Q. 1 door? 2 At what point I was blocking the door? 3 Α. Correct. At what point were you blocking the 4 Q. door? 5 The officer was sitting right next to the Α. 6 7 door so I was standing right -- as soon as I went in the officer was right next to the door. So all the time I 8 was in the conference room I was at the door. 9 And at any time did you refuse to allow a CID Q. 10 officer to leave? 11 Α. No. 12 You never blocked anybody's access? Q. 13 I was standing at the door. He could have 14 Α. went around me. 15 Do you recall saying anything to that 16 Q. officer? 17 Α. Yes, I spoke to the officer. 18 19 Q. And do you recall whether he attempted to go around you? 20 What officer? Α. 21 My client. 22 Q. You're talking about CID. He's not an Α. 23 officer, he's CID. So when you said officer I thought 24 you was talking about Officer Tyler, the officer that was 25

being assaulted. 1 No. We're here on this one. Q. 2 Well, the only thing I said to Mr. Bobo was 3 Α. told him not to put his hand on me. 4 Because why? Q. 5 Because why? Α. 6 Q. Yes, sir. Why? 7 Because he shouldn't put his hand on me. 8 Α. You threaten him back? Q. 9 Did I threaten him back? Α. 10 Correct. Q. 11 He didn't never threaten me. I didn't never Α. 12 threaten him. I just told him not to put his hand on me. 13 And he didn't in fact put his hands on you, Q. 14 did he? 15 I didn't understand what you said. Α. 16 He did not in fact put his hands on you. 17 Q. Yes, he did. He put his hands on me. 18 Α. At the time he was attempting to walk out? 19 Q. At the time he was attempting to walk out, I 20 Α. don't know nothing about the time he was attempting to 21 walk out, because there was so much going on I was 22 talking to about two or three people at the same time 23 during the course of the incident. 24 There were a lot of distractions, correct? 25 Q.

A lot of things going on at the same time. Α. 1 And there was in fact another officer who was Q. 2 involved after you slapped my client in the face, 3 correct, another CID investigator? 4 BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, the questions 5 concerning slapping Mr. Bobo tend to be questions 6 that caused Mr. Bobo to charge Mr. Lee and 7 therefore he is not required to testify regarding 8 slapping Mr. Bobo. He has a good right not to do 9 SO. 10 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I'll withdraw the 11 question, your Honor. 12 BY THE COURT: Well, that's correct. 13 was there another officer involved in 14 Q. necessarily taking you down at one point? 15 Another officer involved in taking me down? Α. 16 Correct. 17 Q. It was a number of staff involved during that 18 Α. situation with myself and Mr. Bobo. 19 Q. And if that was the point, Mr. Lee, that your 20 wrist was grabbed, would you really be able to identify 21 whether it was my client who grabbed it? 22 The incident, it's a number of staff involved Α. 23 during that incident. That was the second incident. And 24 then on the first incident it was a few staff involved, 25

but I know Mr. Bobo came up and grabbed my wrist because I was looking dead at him. It wasn't anyone else, because I was talking to him as he was approaching me.

- Q. What were y'all talking about?
- A. We was talking about the situation that was going on. He was telling me that I didn't need to know what was going on. He's telling me it was a criminal matter, and I told him it's about an institutional matter.
- Q. And you would agree with me that as the superintendent it is your job to interview staff for administrative and staff related issues, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But you would also agree with me then that law enforcement has an obligation to conduct criminal investigations, correct?
- A. Correct, but they don't have an obligation to assault staff.
- Q. So Mr. Lee, if I'm hearing this correctly, this is more about assaulting the staff than it is about CID investigators attempting to perform an investigation or as they're performing an investigation?
- A. I went over there because the incident was reported to me. CID and the officer was having a fight inside the conference room, and that's an institutional

matter. 1 But CID doesn't report to you, correct? Q. 2 Everybody that work at MSP report under the 3 Α. superintendent indirectly. A supervisor might be at 4 central office but the superintendent run the 5 institution. 6 Q. So you're aware of every criminal 7 investigation that's ongoing at the state penitentiary? 8 BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, I think this is 9 going far beyond the question of whether or not he 10 assaulted someone. 11 BY THE COURT: If that's an objection I'll 12 sustain the objection. 13 BY MR. AUSTIN: Thank you. 14 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Court's indulgence. 15 BY THE COURT: Very well. 16 BY MR. JERSCHEID: One last question, your 17 18 Honor. BY THE COURT: Very well. 19 Mr. Lee, you're familiar with use of force, 20 Q. correct? 21 Α. Correct. 22 And the department --Q. 23 BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, again I object. 24 It goes beyond the scope of this hearing. 25

BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, if I may 1 respond briefly? 2 BY THE COURT: Very well. 3 BY MR. JERSCHEID: This has everything to 4 do with use of force, and Mr. Lee has alleged that 5 my client grabbed him by the wrist and attempted to 6 subdue him during the course of a criminal 7 investigation. 8 Assuming that those were the facts that are 9 not required to the Court, your Honor, my client 10 would certainly be able to cross-examine Mr. Lee on 11 matters regarding use of force in this particular 12 13 matter. BY THE COURT: I will allow it. 14 Mr. Lee, you're familiar with use of force, Q. 15 correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 And as far as any use of force that involved 18 Q. yourself, and by that what you've alleged Investigator 19 Bobo to have committed against you, would you consider 20 that to be excessive force? 21 Α. Everybody rate force differently. 22 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I have no further 23 questions, your Honor. 24 BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN:

- Q. Superintendent Lee, is there anything else you wish to add?
- A. Yes, sir. I would just like to add that during the course of that incident, the only reason I was only there like I prior stated, that it was reported that CID was in the conference room over at 29 assaulting an officer.

I received a call from my warden, and I was instructed by my supervisor, Mr. John Wheaton, to go over and see what was going on.

BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, I'm gonna object at this point. I'll withdraw it.

BY THE WITNESS: And once I arrived over there and wanted to speak to Mr. Rogers, the CID, directly at him and speak to find out what was going on, he told me it wasn't my business, and the officer had stated that he had been assaulted by CID.

- Q. Okay. But back to the point. Your allegation is that Mr. Bobo assaulted you twice?
 - A. Yes, sir.

BY MR. AUSTIN: That's all we have of Mr. Lee, your Honor.

BY THE COURT: You may step down.

(WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 1 BY MR. AUSTIN: We call Officer Carter. 2 3 WILLIAM CARTER, called on behalf of the State, having been duly sworn by 4 the clerk, was examined and testified as follows: 5 BY MR. AUSTIN: May I proceed? 6 BY THE COURT: You may. 7 **DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN:** 8 Officer Carter, would you state your full Q. 9 name, please. 10 William Carter. Α. 11 And Mr. Carter, you work for Criminal Q. 12 Investigation Division? 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 And that is a law enforcement agency? Q. 15 Yes, sir. 16 Α. You as well as Mr. Bobo are law enforcement 17 Q. officers? 18 19 Α. Yes, sir. And were you present during the incident that 20 Q. Superintendent Lee was testifying about? 21 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Tell us what happened, what you saw? Q. 23 On that day in question we ended up Α. 24 interviewing an officer in reference to a complaint we 25

had come in about an inmate getting assaulted.

After questioning the officer he denied assaulting an inmate, we noticed what appeared to be blood on his pants, and so we told him we're gonna have to have your pants for evidence.

Chief Rogers told him don't leave the conference room so he can go out and call the director. So when Chief Rogers was out he got up and went to leave and Investigator Bobo told him he couldn't leave.

He said he was leaving. Investigator Bobo stood in front of the door. He grabbed Investigator Bobo and threw him out of the way. I grabbed Officer Tyler around his body and pushed him into the wall trying to stop him from leaving.

He pushed me off, and by that time Investigator Bobo had done got back up, and we both was trying to struggle with him telling him he wasn't going nowhere with that evidence on his pants.

Well, at that time Chief Rogers and Warden Morris had entered the conference room and assisted on taking him down. Well, we got him on the ground and he was still resisting, trying to get up, and Officer Tyler is a pretty large fellow.

I told him, I said, quit resisting, stop resisting, and then I told Warden Morris that he was

under arrest, he was resisting, he's failing to comply, disorderly conduct, he's under arrest.

We advised Deputy Warden Cox, I think it was, to contact K9 because we had another field op agent that was involved in the same incident to be interviewed in the conference room -- well, in the admin building of 29. So we requested the assistance of K9.

Well, when we finally got Officer Tyler in cuffs and picked him up and sat him back in the chair,

Superintendent Lee entered the conference room with K9.

And he was telling the chief he wanted to talk to Officer Tyler, and chief was telling him he was being interviewed, this is an investigation, ongoing investigation, that we got to get through doing what we was doing.

And Superintendent Lee kept telling him, no, he's gonna go with me, and Chief Rogers kept saying, no, you're gonna stay here. Well, it was on and on and on.

I did not see, you know, I don't know what happened with Superintendent Lee and Bobo the first incident he was talking about Bobo grabbing his wrist.

I do know Superintendent Lee was standing in front of the door and had the door blocked and wouldn't allow nobody to come in or out of the conference room.

At one point in time Chief left the conference

room to go talk to either the director or somebody, and while they was gone everybody was out.

I was standing in the doorway of the conference room and Superintendent Lee was standing on the outside of the conference room and Steven Tyler was still inside the conference room.

And he was telling Steven Tyler, come on, let's go, and I blocked the door with my arm over the doorway and said, no, let's just wait, he's staying in here, let everybody get on the same page.

Superintendent Lee grabbed my arm and tried to move me out of the doorway and that's when -- Bobo, I did not see him come toward Superintendent Lee. I did hear Investigator Bobo say, come on, Superintendent, back up.

Superintendent then turned around and assaulted Bobo, and when he assaulted Bobo I grabbed him. When I grabbed Superintendent, unknown K9, I don't know who all it was, jumped on my back and we all hit the couch in the conference room. At the time some K9 grabbed Bobo and had him pinned on the couch in the conference room.

- Q. So you did not see Bobo assault warden?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you see him grab his hand, anything?
- A. I did not see any kind of contact between Superintendent and Bobo.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So y'all were somewhat of a mess, I guess Q. would be the best description? Yes, sir. Α. And Officer Rogers was not in there when the Q. assault occurred, correct, or allegedly occurred? He's saying two assaults. That one time when Α. he assaulted Bobo when he's saying Bobo run up to him, Chief was not in the room. I don't know when the other time in the conference room he's replying to that Bobo grabbed him, I don't know, I can't answer that. You actually saw the assault against Bobo Q. also? Yes, sir. Α. BY MR. AUSTIN: Tender the witness, your Honor. BY THE COURT: Any cross of this witness? BY MR. JERSCHEID: A couple of brief points, your Honor. BY THE COURT: Very well. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID: Investigator, my name is Erich Jerscheid. Q. represent fellow Investigator Jim Bobo. Do you recall what you were investigating that day? Yes, sir. It was an assault on an inmate. Α.

Do you recall the injuries that the inmate Q. 1 sustained? 2 He had some, if I'm not mistaken, some marks 3 Α. on his face and maybe the head was busted and blood 4 coming from the back of his head, top of his head 5 somewhere. 6 Q. And during the course of your investigation 7 the officer attempted to leave, correct? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 And when Superintendent Lee entered the room, Q. 10 do you recall his attitude, his demeanor when he walked 11 in? 12 My opinion or -- in my opinion he was there Α. 13 to take Steven Tyler out of the conference room. 14 To your knowledge would Superintendent Lee 15 Ο. have been aware of any alleged assault committed upon 16 this particular officer at that time? 17 Α. No, sir, not to my knowledge. I don't know. 18 19 Q. You were in the room when Officer Tyler attempted to walk out, is that correct? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 And he's a large fellow? 22 Q. Yes, sir. Α. 23 And at the time that Superintendent Lee Q. 24 entered the room, do you recall anything that he might 25

have been yelling when he walked in? 1 Something about he didn't -- he was talking Α. 2 to the officer and telling him something about contraband 3 or something, that you didn't bring contraband in or why 4 is he going to Sunflower County and different stuff, 5 telling him to tell us that he didn't do this and didn't 6 -- talking about the contraband. 7 And you were investigating a completely 8 Q. different matter at that time? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Has Superintendent Lee ever served as a staff Q. 11 advocate previously to your knowledge? 12 Repeat the question. Α. 13 As a staff advocate? 14 Q. Talking about determining --15 Α. As someone who would advocate -- for example Q. 16 17 BY MR. AUSTIN: Your Honor, again we're 18 going so far afield. It's a criminal matter. 19 BY THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 20 What was Superintendent Lee doing at the time 21 Q. that he walked in earlier? 22 He just had his hand on Steven Tyler's left 23 shoulder I think it was or maybe his right -- on his 24 shoulder like he was trying to pull his shirt and Chief 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had him by the other shoulder kind of holding him in the chair. And Superintendent Lee was attempting to walk Q. out with him? Yes, sir. Α. what kind of shape was the room in after the Q. tussle? Α. The table was pushed to the side, maybe some chairs turned over. As an investigator you're familiar with the Q. use of force? Yes, sir. Α. And based on your observations that day, Q. would you consider Investigator Bobo's actions to have been excessive based on your --No, sir. Α. BY MR. AUSTIN: Unless he's talking about his actions against Superintendent Lee, it's not relevant to this matter. BY THE COURT: Objection is sustained. BY MR. JERSCHEID: No further questions, your Honor. BY THE COURT: Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: Officer Carter, was Tyler charged? Q.

Not to my knowledge. Α. 1 BY MR. AUSTIN: That's all I have of this 2 witness, your Honor. 3 BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 4 BY MR. AUSTIN: We call Kelvin Taylor. 5 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 6 business. 7 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 8 **KELVIN TAYLOR**, 9 called on behalf of the State, having been duly sworn by 10 the clerk, was examined and testified as follows: 11 BY MR. AUSTIN: May I proceed, your Honor? 12 BY THE COURT: You may proceed. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: 14 Would you state your name, please. 15 Q. Kelvin Taylor. 16 Α. Mr. Taylor, I believe you are a K9 officer at 17 Q. Mississippi Department of Corrections? 18 19 Α. Yes, sir. You've been in court during this testimony. 20 Q. So on the day that we were talking about the testimony 21 you have heard, is there anything that you can add or 22 tell us any differently than what has been testified to? 23 Α. Only that when we arrived in the conference 24 room Superintendent Lee, he was trying to find out what 25

was going on with the officer and why he was handcuffed to the chair.

During that time CID didn't want to tell Mr. Lee what was going on or also tell him that he could leave, so Mr. Lee was trying to get Officer Tyler and take him out of the room so he can get him some medical attention, and CID Bobo grabbed him and they ended up locking up together and we ended up having to pull them apart.

- Q. Bobo and who were locked up together?
- A. Bobo and Superintendent Lee.
- Q. Were they holding each other, pushing, what were they doing?
 - A. They was just holding each other.
 - Q. And you helped separate them?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you know who grabbed who first?
- A. CID Bobo, he grabbed Superintendent first.
- Q. And you were not initially there, were you?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. You were called by CID?
- A. No, sir. Me along with the rest of the K9, we were on a previous detail, and our supervisor informed us that we had to go to 29 and meet Superintendent Lee over there. We had no idea what was going on at the time.

All we knew was that we had to meet the 1 superintendent, and from there outside the gate we walked 2 over to the conference room, and that's when we saw 3 Officer Tyler in the chair handcuffed along with CID all 4 in the conference room. 5 Was Tyler handcuffed? Q. 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 So CID had him sitting in a chair? 8 Q. Yes, sir. Α. 9 BY MR. AUSTIN: Tender the witness, your 10 Honor. 11 BY THE COURT: Any cross-examination of 12 this witness? 13 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Two questions, your 14 Honor. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID: 16 Mr. Jackson? It's Kelvin, right? 17 Q. Yes, sir. 18 Α. Okay. When you first started testifying, I 19 Q. believe you made a statement that CID did not want to 20 tell you what was going on, is that correct? 21 Α. They didn't want to tell Superintendent Lee 22 what was going on. 23 And to your knowledge, does anybody from CID Q. 24 report directly to the superintendent? 25

I wouldn't have any knowledge of that. Α. 1 BY MR. JERSCHEID: No other questions, your 2 3 Honor. BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 4 BY MR. AUSTIN: No, sir. 5 BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 6 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir. 7 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, sir. 8 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 9 business. 10 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 11 BY MR. AUSTIN: We call Officer Larry 12 Craig. 13 14 LARRY CRAIG, called on behalf of the State, having been duly sworn by 15 the clerk, was examined and testified as follows: 16 BY MR. AUSTIN: May I proceed, your Honor? 17 BY THE COURT: You may. 18 19 **DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN:** State your name, please. 20 Q. Larry Craig. 21 Α. And Officer Craig, you're part of the K9 unit 22 Q. at Parchman? 23 Yes, sir. Α. 24 And you were present for this incident we 25 Q.

have been here today talking about, is that correct? 1 That's correct. Α. 2 Did you see anything different than what's 3 Q. been testified to earlier? 4 No, sir. Α. 5 Okay. So you saw Bobo and Lee grab each Q. 6 other? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Α. You see any other touching by either one of Q. 9 them? 10 On the first incident Superintendent Lee said 11 we need to get this officer some attention, medical 12 So when we got ready to get him up CID Bobo 13 attention. ran over and grabbed Mr. Lee's wrist. 14 And what did Superintendent Lee do? Q. 15 He told CID Bobo to keep his hands off of Α. 16 him. 17 Did you see Officer Bobo or Superintendent 18 Q. 19 Lee touching each other at any other time? I only heard on that second incident what Α. 20 happened out there in the lobby. 21 Were they outside your presence? 22 Q. I was in the front. Α. 23 Anything else you want to add? Q. 24 No, sir. 25 Α.

BY MR. AUSTIN: Tender the witness, your 1 Honor. 2 BY THE COURT: Any cross of this witness? 3 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Two questions, your 4 5 Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID: 6 Officer, I believe earlier you testified that Q. 7 Mr. Bobo grabbed the superintendent, is that correct? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 And did you also grab my client as well 10 Q. during that time? 11 Pushed him back, separated both of them, Α. 12 pushed both of them back, Superintendent Lee and CID 13 Bobo. 14 And so at no time did you grab my client? Q. 15 No, sir. 16 Α. And did you see who grabbed first? 17 Q. CID Bobo. Α. 18 I believe you've testified that 19 Q. Superintendent was standing at the door? 20 Α. Standing right there on the side, not in 21 front of the door, right there where Officer Tyler was 22 23 at. And that was at the time Superintendent Lee Q. 24 attempted to exit the room with Officer Tyler? 25

Yes, sir. Α. 1 BY MR. JERSCHEID: No further questions, 2 3 your Honor. BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 4 BY MR. AUSTIN: No, sir. 5 BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 6 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir. 7 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, sir. 8 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 9 business. 10 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 11 BY MR. AUSTIN: We rest, your Honor. 12 BY THE COURT: You rest? 13 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes. 14 BY THE COURT: Any testimony by the 15 defendant? 16 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Well, your Honor, at the 17 time we move ore tenus to dismiss the charges, and 18 19 pending that motion, of course, we would reserve our right to call rebuttal witnesses that have been 20 here in the courtroom. 21 BY THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the 22 motion at this time. I have your earlier motion to 23 dismiss under advisement. You may proceed. 24 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, at this time 25

we would call Chief Investigator Rogers.

JOHN D. ROGERS,

called on behalf of the respondent, having been duly sworn by the clerk, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID:

- Q. You mind if I call you Chief Rogers?
- A. That's fine.

- Q. Chief, my name is Erich Jerscheid. I represent Investigator Bobo. Now, why don't you start by telling the Court a little bit about yourself, start with your name and what kind of background you have.
- A. John D. Rogers. I've been working at Parchman since 1989. Prior to that I was a police officer for the city of Drew.

When I went to work at MSP Parchman I went in the K9 unit. I was there for almost 16 years, left there and went as a deputy warden to the max unit, and then I believe it was May 2009 I went to CID as the investigative chief.

- Q. So you've been in charge of investigations at Mississippi State Penitentiary for seven or eight years now?
 - A. Yes, sir, that would be about right.
 - Q. And we're here regarding events that occurred

on November 21st of 2016. Do you recall those events?

A. Yes, sir, I do.

- Q. Why don't you tell the Court what you observed that day?
- A. All right. Prior to my arrival to Unit 29 we received a complaint from a defendant's mother. She made the allegation that her son allegedly had been assaulted by two staff members.

So I dispatched CID James Bobo and William Carter, both investigators, to the scene to find out if it was true, any merit to the allegation.

I guess they had been out there for about 20 minutes or so maybe, and I decided to give them a call, and I called, and I forgot which one I talked to, and I asked him was it any merit to it. They said, yes, he's got blood on his clothing, so I decided to go on out.

When I got there we conducted a couple of interviews. We wound up getting one of the two suspects and interviewed him. He denied the allegations. He was questioned under Miranda.

We asked him to let us look at his clothing.

During that time frame Mr. Bobo spotted what he thought and when I looked at it what appeared to be physical evidence to go along with this alleged assault.

So when I saw that I told the suspect officer, I

said, sit in this chair, do not go anywhere, and I stepped out of the conference room and I went to Deputy Warden Andy Mills' room to call Director Smith, and I told him what we had.

And while I just got off the phone with him I heard the commotion start off in the conference room. It was a lot of racket and I could tell things was getting tossed around, and I took my glasses off and my hat, I didn't want my glasses broken.

when I entered the room I saw them struggling with the suspect staff member, and Mr. Bobo advised me that he had been assaulted and he had placed him under arrest, told him he's under arrest, so I helped. We subdued the officer. It took a little bit. He's pretty strong.

We got cuffs on him and I sat him in the chair.

Warden Morris was in there. I don't know if he was in

there prior to my entry, I just can't remember, but I

know he was there to witness a lot of it.

And at that point we had another staff suspect that was being held up in Warden Morris' office, and we're talking about two doors down from the conference room, and I got concerned then.

Well, we had to grapple with this one and maybe the other one would have to be grappled with so I told Warden Morris -- well, I asked him, I said, can you get

K9 out here to sit with this suspect because we got the

other one.

- Q. Let me just ask you a brief question on that, Chief Rogers. As CID y'all are considered law enforcement?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. And by statute you are authorized to request K9 to facilitate any narcotics detections or any other matters related to tracking down inmates who run off?
- A. Right. Well, actually if we have a matter that pertains to a CID function we can request K9.
 - Q. And at that time you needed K9?
- A. Right. I just wanted them to pull security on the suspect officer because he had became combative. And anyway, he was sitting in the chair and I looked at him and I told him I said -- I called him by his name and I said, listen, do not go down this road. I said, do not go down this road.

I said, we just had another officer in a similar case at that same unit, 29, the Friday before the 21st I believe it was, pled guilty in the Northern District for -- I think his charge was conspiracy to cover up an investigation, hinder an investigation, and he's supposed to be sentenced, I think, this Thursday in the Northern

District. There was three other suspects in that.

So I said, do not go down this road. I said, this particular officer had the opportunity in my office to tell the truth about that incident. I said, he did not, and I was telling this to our suspect.

I said, do not go down that road. I said, tell the truth. And I asked him was he willing to recant his statement and asked him at that time was he willing to relinquish his clothing and his boots; he agreed to it.

And about that time that's when Superintendent Lee come up in there with his K9. He came in the door, grabbed the suspect by the sleeve, his K9 encircled us and told him to come on. I said, Mr. Lee, he's a suspect in a criminal investigation.

And he said, I don't care what's going on, I'm gonna talk to this officer or something along those lines, and he kept trying to pull him up out of the chair.

Well, his legs were separating the suspect, so I just kind of stood up. I encroached his position, and a bunch of grappling happened behind me, K9 and my staff members. I couldn't see.

I had ahold of the suspect and I heard somebody back there snapping pictures with a phone, and I looked back, and I'm pretty sure I remembered it being Sergeant

Leather Williams snapping all kind of pictures.

well, anyway, it became a stalemate. The superintendent grabbed the doorknob. Mr. Bobo, I told him, I said, go call Director and tell him what's happening. Mr. Lee wouldn't let him out.

Mr. Bobo said, Mr. Lee, can I please leave out or something of that nature, and he asked him again, can you let me out. Anyway, there's an audiotape that Mr. Bobo started recording during the middle of this process, and you will clearly hear me tell Mr. Lee, I asked him why was he interfering with our investigation.

He was informed immediately when he walked in the room to grab our suspect and take him out because he's a suspect in an alleged assault of an inmate and he had what appeared to be physical evidence on his clothing.

But he came in and bogarted the situation and tried to remove the suspect. During that time -- and this is gonna be on the audiotape as well, you'll hear me saying, tell him, Officer Tyler, tell him, Officer Tyler, this is about you, not him, and that's where the recording started.

What I was referring to at that moment, I was trying to get him to tell the superintendent that he agreed to recant his testimony and tell the truth and go down the correct road on that investigation.

Superintendent Lee began leading him and asking him questions about, you assault anybody, you bring any contraband and all these kind of things, you know, coaching him on his responses against us.

- Q. And Chief Rogers, let me ask you one brief question. Officer Tyler had already waived his Miranda?
- A. He signed a Miranda sheet, and when I asked him would he be willing to recant his statement when I told him the part about the other officer, he agreed, and if I'm not mistaken Warden Morris should have been a witness to that and Mr. Carter and Mr. Bobo.

But he was ready to recant to some degree if not a full degree. Mr. Lee started questioning him and asking him. He realized, it was obvious, and you can tell it when you listen to the audiotape that he knew the superintendent was there for him, and he did a complete 180 and started accusing us of all this and all that.

So to a degree the Superintendent's actions compromised our investigation at that point, because I believe in my heart I would have already had a recanted testimony from that suspect.

Q. Based on your experience as well and the 27 years experience that you had being at the state penitentiary and from your time being on the road, did you observe Officer Tyler demonstrate body language that

he was prepared to come forward with was --

A. He sat there nodding his head. He was sitting in the chair. Everything was under control, but the superintendent came in there with an agenda to remove our suspect to take him elsewhere.

My main concern at that point, I knew if I let him take him out that the chances of maintaining control of the clothing that we wanted to have processed for physical evidence was not gonna happen.

So at that point, some point in there
Superintendent Lee did step out and leave. Mr. Bobo went
and called, the phone got transferred back to the
conference room and I was talking to my director.

- Q. At any time did you observe Investigator Bobo put his hands on Superintendent Lee?
- A. I don't recall him actually touching him. You'll hear this in the recording, something to the effect Mr. Lee was saying don't put your hands on me. Mr. Bobo said, I don't have him, he's got me.

And I may or may not have said don't touch him.

I didn't want Mr. Bobo touching Superintendent. I don't know. All I know is when that part of this situation broke up, Mr. Bobo did show me his wrist and I saw fingernail marks in his skin and we have pictures of those.

- Q. Some of the points that the superintendent was making when he first entered the room, I believe earlier you made a statement along the lines regarding contraband?
- A. He was asking the suspect officer did you bring any contraband or something along those lines. It didn't have anything to do with what we were doing. I clearly advised him that we were investigating that suspect officer for the alleged assault of an inmate.
- Q. Do you recall if Superintendent Lee during that time period suggested to Officer Tyler that it was good that y'all were only there for an inmate assault?
- A. He made some kind of comment like that.

 During the time when Mr. Bobo was asking to leave out,

 Tyler made a remark, y'all didn't let me leave, and the superintendent remarked, thank you, in a real arrogant tone, and it was obvious to me that he was there to rescue that staff member at all costs.
- Q. Do you recall, Chief, how long y'all had been involved in the investigation?
- A. The investigation probably prior to the superintendent and the K9 unit's arrival, he was our third witness to interview, probably maybe an hour. I'm not 100 percent sure.
 - Q. And it's your obligation as the chief to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ensure that your investigators preserve the integrity of the investigation? That's absolutely correct. And who do you report to, Chief? Q. My supervisor is Director Sean Cason Smith Α. out of central office, director of corrections investigations. From your experience in the event that there Q. is any internal investigation that's conducted on any particular CID officer at the unit level, are these generally handled at the state level? That would be by our integrity investigators assigned to central office per the direction of Director Smith or the commissioner. And to your knowledge was an investigation conducted in this case? It was conducted. Α. BY MR. JERSCHEID: I have no other questions, your Honor. BY THE COURT: Any cross of this witness? BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: Officer Rogers, you've been there 30 years Q. almost. You ever had superintendents come? Sir, I can barely hear you. Α.

You ever had superintendents come during the Q. 1 middle of your investigation? 2 That's the first time that I can recall a 3 superintendent interfering with an investigation. 4 was Tyler charged? 5 Q. That investigation was picked up by our Α. 6 7 integrity division, and from what I understand the Federal Bureau of Investigation Department of Justice 8 should pick it up in the Northern District. 9 And also you were asked if CID or Central CID Q. 10 Integrity conducted an investigation, and I believe your 11 answer was yes? 12 That's correct. 13 Α. And I believe the report states that they 14 Ο. found no wrongdoing? 15 That's what I was told, yes, sir. Α. 16 BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. That's all I have, 17 18 your Honor. 19 BY THE COURT: Any redirect? BY MR. JERSCHEID: No, your Honor. 20 BY THE COURT: May this witness be excused? 21 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, sir. 22 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 23 business. 24 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 25

BY THE COURT: You may call your next 1 witness. 2 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, we would 3 call Cole Terrell to the stand. 4 5 COLE TERRELL, called on behalf of the respondent, having been duly 6 7 sworn by the clerk, was examined and testified as follows: 8 **DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID:** 9 Q. Investigator Terrell? 10 Yes, sir. Α. 11 If you don't mind, I'll just call you Q. 12 Investigator Terrell. 13 That's fine. Α. 14 My name is Erich Jerscheid and I represent Q. 15 Mr. Bobo here, and we're here before the Court regarding 16 events that occurred on or about November 21st, 2016. 17 Now, tell the Court about yourself, who do you work for 18 and what do you do? 19 I work for Mississippi Department of Α. 20 Corrections. I'm part of the CID division out of Central 21 Office Integrity Division. 22 And y'all operate it like internal affairs Q. 23 investigators? 24 Yes, sir. 25 Α.

Is that for the entire department or just for Q. 1 CID? 2 No, it's for the entire department. 3 Α. And in the event that there's a complaint 4 Q. made or an investigation into a CID officer at any 5 facility level, y'all are the ones who handle it? 6 Α. At the direction of the director, yes. 7 Q. And who's the director? 8 Sean Smith. Α. 9 And regarding the events of November 21st, Q. 10 2016, do you recall conducting an investigation? 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 And based on your investigation, can you tell Q. 13 the Court your findings? 14 I would have to refer to my report for the 15 complete and by the letter of my report findings, but 16 basically through the course of interviewing numerous 17 people, numerous officers, staff investigators, it was 18 determined that -- well, it couldn't be determined that 19 Investigator Bobo ever touched Superintendent Lee. 20 Q. And based on this investigation, do you 21 recall how many individuals you interviewed? 22 Α. It was a number of them. No. sir. 23 Is there anything that might help refresh 24 Q. your memory? 25

My report would be great. Α. 1 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, may I 2 approach the witness? 3 BY THE COURT: You may. What's your name? 4 BY THE WITNESS: Cole Terrell. 5 Investigator Terrell, I just handed you a Q. 6 7 document, do you recognize that? Α. Yes, sir. 8 And what do you recognize that document to Q. 9 be? 10 That is gonna be the memo that I completed 11 Α. after the investigation. 12 And that memo was authored by you? Q. 13 Yes, sir. 14 Α. And is it a fair and accurate depiction of Q. 15 the investigation? 16 From everything I can gather, yes, sir. Α. 17 BY MR. JERSCHEID: And your Honor, at this 18 time I would move to have that memo placed into 19 evidence? 20 BY THE WITNESS: Can I say one thing. 21 There's four pages of this. The fourth page is 22 missing. 23 BY MR. AUSTIN: I have no objection, your 24 25 Honor.

BY THE COURT: Let it be marked and 1 received into evidence, assuming they can find the 2 fourth page. 3 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Do you recall what was 4 on that fourth page? 5 BY THE WITNESS: It's just one line on the 6 fourth page. 7 BY THE COURT: We'll allow it with the 8 three pages if he recite what the line was. We'll 9 see what we can do with that. 10 BY THE WITNESS: You want me to tell you 11 basically what the last line was? 12 Please. Q. 13 In short it was basically saying that any 14 evidence as far as photos of the injuries sustained to 15 the inmate as well as the officers were available upon 16 17 request. (EXHIBIT 1, REPORT, WAS MARKED AND RECEIVED 18 19 INTO EVIDENCE.) And to your knowledge did Superintendent Lee 20 Q. have an evaluation of his injuries? 21 Α. To my knowledge, no, sir. 22 And I direct your attention to the bottom of Q. 23 page 3. I believe you discussed some key factors? 24 Yes, sir. 25 Α.

why don't you tell the Court about those. Q. 1 You can just summarize it because it's been entered into 2 evidence. 3 In summary, CID was conducting a lawful 4 investigation. At the point that evidence was 5 discovered, potential evidence was discovered on the 6 7 officer's clothing, the CID investigators were then detaining the officer pending the retrieval of that 8 evidence. 9 And CID officers would have had an obligation Q. 10 to preserve that evidence? 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 And the integrity of any investigation is Q. 13 key? 14 Absolutely. Α. 15 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I have no other 16 questions, your Honor. 17 BY THE COURT: Any cross of this witness? 18 19 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: 20 Officer, did you interview Superintendent 21 Q. Lee? 22 No, sir, I did not. Α. 23 Did you interview all the witnesses that come Q. 24 here this morning? Did you interview those two K9 25

officers?

- A. I don't recall interviewing them, no, sir. I had assistance investigating.
- Q. Were there conflicting statements between the witnesses that were interviewed?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. So the conclusions in that report is you making a decision as to what you thought was credible and believable and who was telling the truth?
- A. No, sir. That would have been just my opinion. Basically this was an investigation that started, and through the course of the initial part it was determined that everybody needed to be reinterviewed again because there were so many conflicting statements.

And at that point we were asked not to interview Superintendent Lee or Chief Rogers. So we took what we had from recorded interviews from Chief Rogers as well as Superintendent Lee, both over an hour long with other investigators and then put that with the other interviews with Warden Morris and other key people in the investigation, and through the entire investigation nobody could say for sure that they even saw Investigator Bobo touch Superintendent Lee.

- Q. So you authored the report, is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

So you made the decisions? Q. 1 I wrote the report down. Α. 2 BY MR. AUSTIN: That's all. Thank you. 3 BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 4 BY MR. JERSCHEID: One question, your 5 Honor. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID: 7 Officer Cole, just a minute ago you testified 8 Q. that you did not interview Chief Rogers nor 9 Superintendent Lee? 10 Α. Correct. 11 And that was a directive? Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And who gave that directive? 14 Q. The executive staff. Α. 15 And that video, was that done before, after, 16 Q. that you had an opportunity to interview the individuals 17 that were here? 18 Excuse me? 19 Α. when you conducted that video interview, was 20 Q. that after you had interviewed the individuals here or 21 was it prior? 22 The video interview of Superintendent Lee and Α. 23 Chief Rogers, those were conducted by other investigators 24 at our central office on I guess the 22nd and -- yeah. 25

And you reviewed both of those videos? Q. 1 I didn't watch the video. I listened to the Α. 2 audio. 3 Of both Chief Rogers and Superintendent Lee? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. And you stand by your conclusions in this Q. 6 report? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 BY MR. JERSCHEID: No other questions, your 9 Honor. 10 BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 11 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, please. 12 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 13 business. 14 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 15 BY THE COURT: You may call your next 16 witness. 17 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, we would 18 last call Sean Smith. 19 20 SEAN SMITH, called on behalf of the respondent, having been duly 21 sworn by the clerk, was examined and testified as 22 follows: 23 BY MR. JERSCHEID: May I proceed, your 24 Honor? 25

BY THE COURT: You may. 1 2 <u>DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID</u>: Director Smith, good morning. 3 Q. Α. Good morning. 4 My name is Erich Jerscheid and I represent Q. 5 Jim Bobo, and we're here before the Court today on the 6 7 affidavit that was filed by the superintendent, and it's getting to be about lunchtime, so I'll keep it pretty 8 short. 9 Appreciate it. Α. 10 Director Smith, as the director for CID your 11 Q. job is -- tell us a little bit about your job. 12 Being the director of CID I oversee and Α. 13 supervise and review investigations that happen 14 throughout the state whether they're at the three 15 institutions or they're at the integrity level which is 16 at central office. I direct, assign, review and approve 17 cases. 18 And your decision on those cases is based on 19 Q. the investigations conducted by your integrity 20 investigators? 21 Yes, and also the internal affairs 22 coordinators, yes. 23 And do you recall a memorandum regarding Jim Q. 24 Bobo that was authored by Cole Terrell? 25

Yes. Α. 1 And have you recently reviewed that memo? Q. 2 I have not recently reviewed it, no, sir. 3 Α. Do you recall some of the details off of it Q. 4 that jumped out to you? 5 Not that I can testify without looking back Α. 6 at it, no, sir. 7 would that help refresh your recollection? 8 Q. Yes. Α. 9 BY MR. JERSCHEID: May I approach, your 10 Honor? 11 BY THE COURT: You may. 12 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, I would ask 13 that the director reflect on -- I believe it's 14 Plaintiff's 1. 15 Director Smith, I just handed you a document 16 Ο. -- well, it's already been admitted into evidence, so we 17 will strike that, please. 18 Director Smith, I would like to draw your 19 attention to the top left-hand corner of that first page. 20 Can you tell us what that says? 21 At the top left-hand corner it says closed 22 and it has my signature and the date that it was closed. 23 So this matter was investigated, considered Q. 24 -- or it was investigated for facts, correct? 25

Correct. Α. 1 And it was closed January 9th? Q. 2 Correct. 3 Α. And without going too far into detail, your 4 Q. job as the director is to make administrative decisions 5 regarding your CID investigators? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And by administrative decisions that also Q. 8 includes employment decisions, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And Investigator Bobo is still with CID? Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 And so you've already made a decision on this Q. 13 case, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I have no other 16 questions, your Honor. 17 BY THE COURT: Any cross-examination of 18 this witness? 19 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: 21 Director Smith, you realize your decisions 22 Q. are not binding on this Court? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And your decisions were made on reports 25 Q.

gathered by some of your officers? 1 That's correct. Α. 2 And are you aware that we're here not for a 3 Q. trial on Mr. Bobo but to determine probable cause, that 4 is, that Superintendent Lee was probably assaulted and if 5 he in fact were then it was Mr. Bobo who probably did it? 6 Α. I understand that's the reason we're here, 7 yes, sir. 8 So based upon what you were told, you don't Q. 9 believe there's probable cause, but you were not one of 10 the persons who actually interviewed any of those 11 witnesses? 12 That is correct. Α. 13 And you heard the officer just prior to you 14 Q. state there were conflicting statements? 15 Yes, sir. When Mr. Cole was sent out this 16 Α. determined to be a preliminary inquiry as to finding out 17 the exact facts of what transpired and so forth, and when 18 19 he came back he was able to provide the preliminary issues of everybody that he had interviewed. And yes, it 20 did show that there were some conflict, yes, sir. 21 BY MR. AUSTIN: That's all I have, your 22 Honor. 23 BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 24 25 BY MR. JERSCHEID: No, your Honor.

BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 1 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, your Honor. 2 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 3 business. 4 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 5 BY THE COURT: Anything further? 6 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Your Honor, at this time 7 we would call Investigator John Hunt. 8 JOHN HUNT, 9 called on behalf of the respondent, having been duly 10 sworn by the clerk, was examined and testified as 11 follows: 12 **DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JERSCHEID:** 13 Investigator Hunt, how are you, sir? Q. 14 Fine. Α. 15 Investigator Hunt, tell the Court a little 16 Q. bit about yourself, what you do, where you work? 17 Α. My name is John Hunt, CID investigator, 18 integrity investigator with central office. I've been an 19 integrity investigator with the Department of Corrections 20 just over 10 years. 21 And do you have prior law enforcement 22 Q. experience? 23 Yes, sir, three years with Flowood Police Α. 24 Department. 25

And during your employment you came across my Q. 1 client's name, James Bobo? 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 And did you assist Investigator Terrell with 4 Q. that investigation? 5 I did. Α. 6 Q. Can you tell the Court about some of your 7 findings? 8 Α. well, as stated, due to conflicting 9 statements we were told to go back and conduct a further 10 investigation without interviewing Chief Rogers or 11 Superintendent Lee. 12 And so the conflicting statements weren't 13 Q. necessarily anything that Chief Rogers and Superintendent 14 Lee stated before, that the conflict was kind of below, 15 if you will? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And as an integrity investigator I believe 18 Q. earlier it was testified to that y'all operated kind of 19 as internal affairs for CID? 20 Α. Yes, sir. 21 And for the entire department as well? 22 Q. 23 Α. Correct. When you're called, right? Q. 24 Yes, sir. 25 Α.

Do you have anything else to add to Q. Okay. 1 what Investigator Terrell testified to? 2 No, sir, I don't believe. Α. 3 Did you assist in preparing the report? 4 Q. No. sir. Α. 5 But you did participate in the investigation? Q. 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Assisted in making findings of fact? 8 Q. Yes, sir. Α. 9 And provided those findings to Investigator Q. 10 Terrell? 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I have no other 13 questions, your Honor. 14 BY THE COURT: Any cross of this witness? 15 BY MR. AUSTIN: Yes, sir, just a couple. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. AUSTIN: 17 You stated that you were instructed not to 18 Q. reinterview Lee or Rogers? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 You interviewed other people because of 21 Q. conflicts? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 wasn't it obvious that Lee had conflicts Q. 24 with some of those other people? 25

I mean, quite possibly. We were going off 1 our instruction, and some of the officers that were 2 interviewed by us at central office, members of the K9 3 team, initially saying they witnessed Investigator Bobo 4 grab Superintendent Lee. 5 After going into the investigation none could 6 actually say they actually saw him, they just assumed 7 that he grabbed Superintendent Lee. 8 BY MR. AUSTIN: Okay. I have nothing 9 further, your Honor. 10 BY THE COURT: Any redirect? 11 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Court's indulgence. 12 your Honor. 13 BY THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 14 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, your Honor. 15 BY THE COURT: You're free to go about your 16 business. 17 (WITNESS STEPS DOWN.) 18 BY THE COURT: You may call your next 19 witness. 20 BY MR. JERSCHEID: I believe we're done, 21 22 your Honor. BY THE COURT: You rest? 23 BY MR. JERSCHEID: Yes, your Honor. 24 BY THE COURT: Does the State offer any 25

```
rebuttal?
 1
                     BY MR. AUSTIN: No, sir.
 2
                     BY THE COURT: Anyone want to make a
 3
             statement?
 4
                     BY MR. AUSTIN: No, sir.
 5
                     BY MR. JERSCHEID: No, sir.
 6
                     BY THE COURT: All right. I'll take the
 7
             matter under advisement. I'll get y'all a ruling
 8
             as soon as I can. Does anyone have anything
 9
             further?
10
                     BY MR. AUSTIN: No, sir.
11
12
                     BY THE COURT: If nothing further court is
             adjourned.
13
                         (CONCLUDED 12:01 P.M.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Trudie Quinn, in and for the State of Mississippi, the officer, before whom this sworn testimony was taken, do hereby state on the record:

That due to interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talk-overs; that same is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceeding; that the dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript, and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase (phonetic).

* * *

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Trudie Quinn, Official Court Reporter for the Fourth Circuit Court District of the State of Mississippi, do hereby certify that to the best of my skill and ability I have reported the proceedings had and done in the matter of James Bobo, on the docket of the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial District of Washington County, Mississippi, and that the above and foregoing 68 pages contain a true, full and correct transcript of my stenographic notes and tape taken in said proceedings.

I do further certify that my certificate annexed hereto applies only to the original and certified transcript and electronic disks. The undersigned assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of any reproduced copies not made under my control or direction.

This the 8th day of May 2017.



TRUDIE QUINN
Official Court Reporter
CCR Number 1368